VOTE IDAHO

General Election
November 3, 2026

OFFICE OF THE IDAHO
SECRETARY OF STATE

Post-Election **Audit Report**

November 3, 2026

2026 General Election Audit Report

During the 2026 General Election, Idaho voters cast 734,140 ballots, bringing total voter turnout to approximately 66%. Idaho elections would not be possible without the dedication of the 44 county clerks, election officials, and poll workers across every county. The state's smooth election process is a testament to Idaho's commitment to secure, accurate, and accessible elections.

The General Election Audit Report focuses on the eight selected counties for audit:

- Adams
- Bannock
- Benewah
- Caribou
- Gem
- Latah
- Oneida
- Washington

This report provides further assurance of the integrity of the electoral process. Audits like these are critical for maintaining public trust by verifying the accuracy of ballot counts and ensuring that processes adhere to established protocols.

Background

Idaho's decision to introduce audits in 2022 highlights its proactive approach to address election transparency and accuracy. Idaho's audits are designed to determine if tabulation methods are working correctly and counting votes accurately. By verifying whether tabulation systems accurately count votes, these audits serve as a mechanism for validating election outcomes and identifying potential areas for improvement.

All fifty states conduct some form of post-election audit, underscoring the widespread recognition of their importance. Idaho's audits demonstrate a commitment to accountability and supporting local election officials in refining their practices. This dual purpose of verification and continuous improvement strengthens the overall electoral process and helps to reassure voters about the legitimacy of election outcomes.

Idaho Code § 34-1203A outlines the process of conducting post-election audits. In conjunction with directives from the Secretary of State, this code section provides county election administrators and the public with clear guidance on the audit process. The primary objectives of these audits are to:

- Validate the accuracy of election results.
- Ensure that election voting tabulators are functioning properly.
- Compare records of ballots cast to records of voters having voted.
- Confirm adherence to election policies and practices.

Audit Selection & Process

Audit Selection

After county boards of canvassers certify county election results, the State Board of Canvassers convenes to select counties for audit. On November 13, 2026, the Board of Canvassers, comprised of Secretary of State Phil McGrane, State Treasurer Julie Ellsworth, and State Controller Brandon Woolf, randomly selected eight counties and specific precincts within those counties for the post-election audit. 25 of Idaho's 44 counties were eligible for the General Election audit. To ensure all counties receive an audit over multiple elections:

- Smaller counties (under 25,000 registered voters) are cycled out of the pool after being audited until all comparable counties have been audited.

- Larger counties (25,000+ registered voters) remain eligible for every election cycle.

The counties exempt from this audit draw were Bear Lake, Boundary, Butte, Cassia, Clearwater, Custer, Elmore, Franklin, Fremont, Gooding, Jerome, Lemhi, Madison, Minidoka, Owyhee, Payette, Power, and Valley because they were among those audited in previous post-election audits. Shoshone County was also exempt from this audit draw due to a potential countywide recount. Shoshone County will be placed back in the audit selection for the next election cycle. The other five counties previously audited were Ada, Bannock, Bingham, Canyon, and Latah, which have over 25,000 registered voters and were therefore eligible for the 2026 General audit draw. The counties drawn were Adams, Bannock, Benewah, Caribou, Gem, Latah, Oneida, and Washington.

Immediately following the audit draw, the Secretary of State's office sent impound orders to county sheriffs to secure the ballots until the audit. In addition, audit orders were sent to the county clerks to notify them of their county's selection. The Secretary of State determines which race is audited for each county. These orders ensure that legal protocols are followed and that ballots are properly handled before, during, and after the audit.

Audit Process


Between November 16 and November 18, 2026, audit teams were deployed to the selected counties. To bolster audit resources for this cycle, the Secretary of State partnered with Boise

State University's accounting department. Students in the accounting department formed the audit teams responsible for hand-counting and tallying ballots, with each team led by the Secretary of State's election employees.

The following are the key steps of the audit:

- **Ballot Review:** Teams manually counted and verified all ballots within the designated precincts.
- **Voter Verification:** The number of audited ballots was compared to the number of voters recorded as having voted in each precinct.
- **Results Comparison:** Auditors independently reviewed the official county canvass of results to ensure consistency with the hand count findings.

The Secretary of State's office utilized four individuals and a team lead to comprise audit teams. The team positions are shown in the figure below:



Team Lead

The Team Lead is a Secretary of State Elections Division employee and is responsible for ensuring the integrity and transparency of election audits at the county level. Their duties include:


1. **Oversight of Audit Procedures**
    - Leading election audit processes onsite at the county.
    - Ensuring compliance with election laws and directives.
2. **Comparison of Results**
    - Verifying that canvassed results align with audit hand count outcomes.
    - Investigating, documenting, and resolving discrepancies that may arise between the two sets of results.
    - Providing recommendations to the county for process improvement.
3. **Communication**
    - Serving as the primary point of contact for county election officials to provide guidance and address inquiries.
    - Responding to public and media queries to promote understanding and trust in the audit process.
    - Preparing the county audit report for election officials, the Board of Canvassers, and the public.

Caller

The Caller calls out the voter's choice on the ballot. This role was also facilitated by a Secretary of State Elections Division employee. Responsibilities of the role include:

1. **Reading Voter Intent**
    - Clearly announcing the voter’s intent on each ballot for the tallying team. Voter intent means understanding and counting a voter’s choice on a ballot, even if it isn’t marked perfectly according to the instructions.

- Sorting ballots into organized stacks based on markings, such as candidate selections, write-ins, undervotes (no selection), or overvotes (multiple selections).



2. **Organizing Ballots with Colored Indicators**

    - Placing a colored piece of paper on each hashed stack of ballots once the talliers verify the hash aloud. This enables the teams to quickly recall a group of ballots if there is a discrepancy between the talliers.

3. **Flagging Ambiguities or Irregularities**

    - Identifying ballots with unclear voter intent or improper markings (e.g., stray marks, incomplete selections).

Observer

The Observer's role is critical to the quality control of the audit process by preventing any inaccuracies from progressing through the audit. Their responsibilities are as follows:

1. **Monitoring Accuracy**

    - Carefully listening to ensure the Caller accurately announces the voter's selection as marked on each ballot.

    - Cross-checking the Caller's announcement with the actual markings on the ballot.

2. **Stopping Errors**

    - Intervening immediately if the Caller states an incorrect name, selection, or interpretation of the voter's intent.

    - Ensuring that any mistakes are corrected before the tallying process continues.

Talliers

There are two Talliers on each team. They are responsible for accurately recording the voter choice called by the Caller. Their duties include:

1. **Marking Tally Sheets**
    - Recording each selection as announced by the Caller on the official hand-count tally sheets provided by the Team Lead.
    - Ensuring every mark corresponds correctly to the Caller's announced vote for each ballot.
2. **Announcing Synchronization**
    - Upon reaching increments of five tallies (e.g., 5, 10, 15), both talliers will audibly and simultaneously announce "hash" to confirm their counts.
    - Maintaining perfect alignment throughout the tallying process.
3. **Resolving Discrepancies**
    - If a synchronization issue arises (e.g., one tallier misses or misrecords a tally), they will pause and review the ballots from the most recent synchronized "hash" to correct errors and resume the count.
4. **Summarizing Results**
    - At the end of the tallying process for a precinct, or when a tally sheet is full, both talliers will independently total their sheets.
    - The totals will include the number of votes for each candidate, write-ins, undervotes, and overvotes.
    - Talliers will compare their totals to ensure consistency and accuracy, resolving discrepancies before submitting the results.

This manual review process further underscores Idaho's commitment to election security, accuracy, and public trust.

Audit Findings Summary

The following is a summary of each county's audit findings.

Adams County

Audit Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2026
Contest Audited: Attorney General
Precincts/Batches Audited: All
Tabulation Method: Precinct Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Adams County reported 2,221 ballots cast within the county. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,221
Ballots Audited	2,221
Total Voters Recorded	2,222

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Raul R. Labrador	1,559	1,552
DEM	Lori Hickman	603	599
N/A	Undervote	59	70
N/A	Overvote	0	0
Total Votes		**2,221**	**2,221**

Discussion:

A total of 11 ballots across 4 of the 5 audited precincts had voters who marked the party designator instead of the designated oval. The voting system correctly counted these as undervotes because voters did not follow the ballot instructions. During the audit, voter intent was clear on all 11 ballots, resulting in 7 votes for Raul R. Labrador and 4 for Lori Hickman (see Image 1).

In the New Meadows precinct, an absentee envelope was marked as accepted, adding the voter's voting history. However, the county discovered the envelope was empty when opened, resulting in voter history being one higher than the number of ballots cast.

The Indian Valley and North Council precincts shared a polling location, where poll workers accidentally issued two Indian Valley ballots to North Council voters. However, both ballot styles contained the exact same contests and measures, so both voters were still able to vote on the appropriate items.

Recommendations:

The Secretary of State's Office recommends that Adams County review its ballot design processes to limit possible voter confusion. It is also recommended that Adams County review its absentee acceptance procedures and ballot issuance procedures for precincts that share a polling place.

Due to the discussion of ballot design throughout these audits, the Secretary of State's Office will review directives on ballot design to promote consistency statewide. See pg. 21 for additional information on future action.

Bannock County

Audit Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2026
Contest Audited: Attorney General
Precincts Audited: Pocatello 1, Pocatello 17, Pocatello 27, Pocatello 34, Chubbuck 56, B0004, B0014, C0023, C0015, C0006, C0003, A0007, A0018
Tabulation Method: Central Count Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Bannock County reported 2,867 ballots cast within the selected precincts and batches audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,687
Total Ballots Audited	2,683
*Election Day Ballots Audited	1,481
*Election Day Voter History	1,482

*Bannock County data for voter history is only available for precinct-level data. Bannock County does not sort its absentee or early voting ballots by precinct but by batches. As a result, the only voter history data that could be audited was the distinction between election-day voters and election-day check-ins.

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Raul R. Labrador	1,164	1,166
DEM	Lori Hickman	1,427	1,429
N/A	Undervote	91	91
N/A	Overvote	1	1
Total Votes		**2,683**	**2,687**

Discussion:

Bannock County reported that during its tabulation processes, whenever a ballot with a write-in was scanned, it was placed in a separate write-in ballot stack rather than left with its original precinct or batch. Their current process did not include a step to label these ballots so they could be properly returned to the original precinct or batch for storage. As a result, the physical ballots with write-ins were not included in the precincts or batches audited, leading to a discrepancy of 4 ballots unaccounted for and 1 voter history exceeding the ballots-cast totals in the election-day precincts audited.

Recommendations:

Based on the audit, the Secretary of State's Office recommends that Bannock County review its process for labeling and storing write-in ballots.

During the Canvass period, Bannock County notified the Secretary of State’s office that 333 ballots had not been reported on election night. The county identified the missing ballots during its post-election work and found the box, still sealed, in the poll worker’s vehicle. The security seal was intact, and its serial number matched the county’s custody records. The county counted the 333 ballots and added them to the results. No race was close enough for those ballots to change the outcome.

Although this didn’t occur during the audits, the Secretary of State’s office recommends that Bannock County review its election night processes and implement a system in which poll workers receive logs that require multiple checks to ensure all ballots have been received. This, coupled with reconciliation practices, should help identify any missing items earlier in the process.

Benewah County

Audit Date: November 18, 2026
Contest Audited: Advisory Question HB932
Precincts Audited: Benewah, Center, College, Emida, Fernwood, St. Maries
Tabulation Method: Hand Count
Findings: For the canvassed results, Benewah County reported 2,170 ballots cast within the selected precincts audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,170
Ballots Audited	2,134
Election Day Voters Recorded	2,167

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
N/A	Winchester 1873	523	532
N/A	Winchester 1894	554	561
N/A	Colt 1873	207	208
N/A	Colt M1911	155	155
N/A	Remington	356	360
N/A	M1 Garand	140	141
N/A	Undervote	193	208
N/A	Overvote	6	5
Total Votes		**2,134**	**2,170**

Discussion:

Background:

Benewah County hand counts and tallies its election results. They administer their elections by using unique sheets for each contest type, including candidates and varying ballot measures. Based on the voter's assigned taxing districts and eligibility, the county/poll workers will issue the appropriate number of sheets to constitute their ballot (see Image 2). For example, the audit team reviewed the counts for the advisory question, which was placed on a golden half sheet. In certain precincts, voters were issued up to 5 sheets of paper to constitute the voter's ballot.

At the end of election night, all ballots are collected at the polling location and hand-tallied by the precinct's poll workers. The hand-tallied results are then transcribed to the county office where they are reported publicly online. While the other counties included in this election's

random audit utilize tabulators, Benewah counts its results entirely by hand. This hand count is conducted after the precinct's poll workers have worked a full day assisting voters.

Audit Findings:

During the audit, the audit team recognized multiple inconsistencies in how each precinct's counting teams tallied. These inconsistencies included sorting ballots into vote piles and counting sheets rather than calling votes, as well as a lack of independent tallying. The tally books were unique to Benewah; the state-provided tally sheet structure was not used (see Image 3).

It was also identified that poll workers had difficulties accounting for undervotes. As the county mailed out absentees, they would include the appropriate number of sheets for the voter to make their selections. In a few cases, the returned absentees did not include all of the sheets originally issued to the voter. This would be accounted for as a ballot cast for that voter, and the missing contest sheet would be considered an undervote. During the audit, it was found that the logging of these undervotes was also inconsistent across precincts. This caused a discrepancy between the ballots accounted for during the audit and those reported on election night.

In the St. Maries precinct, the audit team tallied 31 fewer ballots than reported on election night. The St. Maries precinct is one of the largest precincts in the county with a total of 740 ballots cast. Hand-counting multiple sheets for an election turnout of this size introduces additional complications and requires meticulous organization.

The audit team determined that 11 of the 31 were likely due to the undervote situation described above. However, the audit team was unable to fully account for or explain the remaining 20-ballot count difference.

Lastly, during the review of voter check-in data, the audit team verified 3 fewer check-ins than ballots cast. While reviewing precinct notes, election day registrations and voter history were recorded without an associated check-in. The lack of a recorded check-in was likely due to poll worker error.

Recommendations:

Due to the outcomes of this audit, the Secretary of State's office will follow up with Benewah County for further training and discussion on implementing improved counting methods and organizational processes.

The Secretary of State's office recommends the following:

- Administering an election of this size with multiple sheets causes additional complications when accounting for votes. Transitioning the election structure to a consolidated ballot would provide a more concrete record for reconciliation.

- Review the counting process and consider investment in tabulation equipment for accuracy.
- Review poll worker training and accounting logs to streamline processes and maintain organization.

Caribou

Audit Date: Monday, November 16, 2026
Contest Audited: Attorney General
Precincts Audited: Bancroft, Grace 1, Grace 2, Soda 1, Soda 2, Soda 3, Soda 4

Tabulation Method: Central Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Caribou County reported 2,441 ballots cast within the selected precincts audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,441
Ballots Audited	2,441
Total Voters Recorded	2,441

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Raul R. Labrador	1,913	1,912
DEM	Lori Hickman	463	463
N/A	Undervote	63	63
N/A	Overvote	2	3
Total Votes		**2,441**	**2,441**

Discussion:

During the audit, it was found in precinct Grace1 that a ballot was filled in for Labrador and also contained a slight mark in the box for Hickman. On election night, the county adjudicated this ballot as an overvote. The audit team determined that the voter's intent on that same ballot was a vote for Labrador rather than an overvote, which contributed to the discrepancy.

Recommendations:

The Secretary of State's Office has no recommendations for Caribou County.

Due to the discussion of voter intent throughout these audits, the Secretary of State’s Office will review directives on voter intent to promote consistency statewide.

Gem County

Audit Date: Monday, November 16, 2026
Contest Audited: Attorney General
Precincts Audited: 04 South Emmett, 14 Absentee
Tabulation Method: Precinct and Central Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Gem County reported 3,249 ballots cast within the selected precincts audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	3,249
Ballots Audited	3,249
Total Voters Recorded	3,249

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Raul R. Labrador	2,248	2,249
DEM	Lori Hickman	925	925
N/A	Undervote	76	75
N/A	Overvote	0	0
Total Votes		**3,249**	**3,249**

Discussion:

One ballot was recorded as an undervote during the audit, while on election day a scanner attributed the same ballot as a vote for Labrador. In reviewing the undervoted ballot, the audit team observed a smudge on the Labrador oval left by the voter while handling their ballot. This could explain how the tabulator recorded the marking as a vote for Labrador, while the hand audit counted it as an undervote.

Recommendations:

The Secretary of State's Office has no recommendations for Gem County.

Due to the discussion of voter intent throughout these audits, the Secretary of State’s Office will review directives on voter intent to promote consistency statewide.

Latah County

Audit Date: Monday, November 16, 2026
Contest Audited: State Senate Legislative District 6
Precincts Audited: Moscow 14, Moscow 16, Troy 29, Viola 30
Tabulation Method: Precinct and Central Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Latah County reported 2,437 ballots cast within the selected precincts audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,437
Ballots Audited	2,437
Total Voters Recorded	2,435

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Dan Foreman	1,066	1,064
DEM	Robin Weldy	1,301	1,299
N/A	Undervote	70	74
N/A	Overvote	0	0
Total Votes		**2,437**	**2,437**

Discussion:

A total of 4 ballots across 2 of the 4 precincts had voters mark the party designator instead of the designated oval (see example in Image 1). The voting system correctly counted these as undervotes because voters did not follow the ballot instructions. During the audit, voter intent was clear on all 4 ballots, resulting in 2 votes for Dan Foreman and 2 for Robin Weldy.

Recommendations:

Based on the audit findings, the Secretary of State's Office has no recommendations. Due to the discussion of ballot design throughout these audits, the Secretary of State's Office will review directives on ballot design to promote consistency statewide. See pg. 21 for additional information on future action.

However, during the Canvass period, Latah County officials discovered that results from a portion of early voting had been omitted from election-night reporting. While the ballots were counted by the county's tabulation machines, those results were not fully transferred to the election-night results system. After updating the results, it was identified that 2,343 ballots were not originally reported. Prior to the audits, the Secretary of State's Office worked with the

clerk's office to conduct a full recount. The results of the recount were finalized by Latah County and updated on the results reporting system. No outcomes changed based on the updated vote totals.

Although this didn't occur during the audits, the Secretary of State's office recommends that Latah County review its election night processes and establish an observer role to help ensure that all media is uploaded and reported on election night. This, coupled with reconciliation practices, will help catch and identify any missing results.

Oneida County

Audit Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2026
Contest Audited: United States Representative District 2
Precincts Audited: All
Tabulation Method: Central Scanner
Findings: For the canvassed results, Oneida County reported 1,685 ballots cast within the county. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	1,685
Ballots Audited	1,685
Totals Voters Recorded	1,684

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
REP	Mike Simpson	1,275	1,275
DEM	Ellie Gilbreath	195	195
LIBER	Will Johanson	52	52
IND	Emre Houser	41	41
IND	Tripp Hutchinson	47	47
N/A	Undervote	75	75
N/A	Overvote	0	0
Total Votes		**1,685**	**1,685**

Discussion:

During the audit, all ballots were accounted for. There was one absentee ballot in Precinct 5 that was cast and scanned, but a check-in was never associated with it. The county identified the missing envelope and corrected the mistake.

Recommendations:

The Secretary of State's Office recommends that Oneida County double-check that all absentees have been received before starting the opening process. Confirm post-election that the number of absentee ballots scanned equals the number of absentee ballots received.

Washington County

Audit Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2026
Contest Audited: Advisory Question HB932
Precincts Audited: 02 West Weiser, 07 Midvale, 08 Cambridge, 09 Pioneer, 11 Mineral
Tabulation Method: Precinct and Central Scanners
Findings: For the canvassed results, Washington County reported 2,183 ballots cast within the selected precincts audited. The audited results compared to the canvassed results for the selected contest are as follows:

County Canvass Ballots Reported	2,183
Ballots Audited	2,183
Total Voters Recorded	2,183

Party	Candidate Names/ Type of Votes	Hand Count Audit Tally	Canvassed Results
N/A	Winchester 1873	583	583
N/A	Winchester 1894	576	576
N/A	Colt 1873	223	223
N/A	Colt M1911	115	115
N/A	Remington	222	222
N/A	M1 Garand	110	110
N/A	Undervote	352	352
N/A	Overvote	2	2
Total Votes		**2,183**	**2,183**

Discussion:

The 02 West Weiser and 11 Mineral precincts shared a polling location, where poll workers accidentally issued one Mineral ballot to a West Weiser voter. However, both ballot styles contained the exact same contests and measures, so the voter was still able to vote on the appropriate items.

Recommendations:

The Secretary of State's Office recommends that Washington County review its ballot issuance procedures for precincts that share a polling place.

Future Action for the Idaho Secretary of State's Office:

In addition to auditing ballots cast and vote totals, our office also audits administrative processes. During each audit cycle, we take notes and continue to find ways to improve elections in Idaho. In our recent audits, we identified an area of administration where the state can take action.

Due to the length of the ballot in this election cycle, many counties isolated contests, placing candidates on the front page of the ballot. This layout decision, coupled with the party designation in parentheses, appears to have caused confusion for a small portion of voters who filled in the party name rather than the tabulation oval to mark their selections.

Although each ballot and voting booth displays marking instructions to help voters mark their ballots correctly to ensure their votes are accurately counted, we believe there is more we can do to avoid any future confusion.

Prior to each election, the Secretary of State issues directives and provides training to the county clerks and election offices regarding ballot design and layout. This is an area where we have devoted additional attention over the past few years to help reduce ballot design errors and inconsistencies. Issuing these directives allows us to work towards greater consistency across the state.

Prior Ballot Design Directives, including the directive for this election, did not include specific instructions on the design of the party designation.


Based on the findings from this audit cycle, guidance on party indicators and their display on ballots will be included going forward to continue our commitment to improving our processes and providing Idahoans with a smooth and successful voting experience.

Conclusion

The 2026 General Post Election Audit demonstrates Idaho's commitment to maintaining the integrity and security of its electoral process. This audit has reaffirmed the reliability of the state’s voting systems and procedures, providing Idahoans with confidence in the accuracy of their elections.

The audit identified process discrepancies in some of the audited counties, with these findings being addressed with tailored recommendations to improve future election protocols. This proactive approach highlights the collaborative efforts of the Secretary of State’s office and County Clerks to uphold the highest standards of election administration.

By continuing to conduct post-election audits, Idaho reinforces its dedication to accessible, secure, and transparent elections. These efforts ensure that every Idahoan’s vote is accurately counted and properly recorded, strengthening public trust in the democratic process.



LATAH COUNTY | STATE OF IDAHO | NOVEMBER 3, 2026 | Moscow 14

OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTER

To vote, completely fill in the oval ( ) next to the candidate or measure of your choice with a black or blue pen.

To vote a "Write-in", fill in the oval next to the blank write-in line **and** write the name of your choice on the blank write-in line.

If you make a mistake, request a new ballot from an election worker.

CANDIDATES FOR UNITED STATES OFFICES

United States Senator (Vote for One)
- Jim Risch (REP)
- Todd Achilles (DEM)
- Natalie M Fleming (IND)
- Matt Loesby (LIB)

United States Representative District 1 (Vote for One)
- Brendan J. Gomez (CON)
- Kaylee Peterson (DEM)
- Sarah Zabel (IND)
- Russ Fulcher (REP)

CANDIDATES FOR STATE OFFICES

Governor (Vote for One)
- Paul Sand (LIB)
- John R. Stegner (DEM)
- Brad Little (REP)
- Terri Pickens (DEM)
- Pro-Life (CON) (a person, formerly known as Marvin Richardson)
- (WRITE-IN)

Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One)
- Eric Myricks (DEM)
- Scott Bedke (REP)

Moscow 14

CANDIDATES FOR STATE OFFICES

Secretary of State (Vote for One)
- Phil McGrane (REP)
- Shawn Keenan (DEM)

State Controller (Vote for One)
- Brandon D Woolf (REP)
- Rakesh Mohan (DEM)

State Treasurer (Vote for One)
- Kevin A Jones (DEM)
- Julie A. Ellsworth (REP)

Attorney General (Vote for One)
- Raul R. Labrador (REP)
- Lori Hickman (DEM)

Superintendent of Public Instruction (Vote for One)
- Teresa Roundy (CON)
- Becky Sundin Mitchell (DEM)
- Debbie Critchfield (REP)

CANDIDATES FOR LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

State Senator District 6 (Vote for One)
- Robin Weldy (DEM)
- Dan Foreman (REP)

State Representative District 6 Seat A (Vote for One)
- Trish Carter-Goodheart (DEM)
- Colton Bennett (REP)

OFFICIAL STAMP BOX

CANDIDATES FOR LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

State Representative District 6 Seat B (Vote for One)
- Brandon Mitchell (REP)
- Kathy Dawes (DEM)

CANDIDATES FOR COUNTY OFFICES

County Commissioner District 1 4 Year Term (Vote for One)
- Carole Wells (DEM)
- Tony Johnson (REP)

County Commissioner District 2 2 Year Term (Vote for One)
- Dan Schoenberg (REP)
- Laura Heinse (DEM)

Clerk of the District Court (Vote for One)
- Shannon May (DEM)
- Julie Fry (REP)

County Treasurer (Vote for One)
- BJ Swanson (DEM)
- Peggy Gottschalk (REP)

County Assessor (Vote for One)
- David Sutherland (REP)

County Coroner (Vote for One)
- Debby Carscallen (DEM)

VOTE BOTH SIDES

Typ:01 Seq:0014 Spl:01

IMAGE 1



IMAGE 2




IMAGE 3